UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES

	FILED			
	JUL _ 1 2008			
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA BY LNY Clerk's Office File Stambeputy				

TO: U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE / U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE Dana M. Sabraw					
FROM: <u>E. Lloyd</u> , Deputy Clerk RECEIVED DATE: 7/1/08					
CASE NO.: 08cv1112-DMS (LSP) DOCUMENT FILED BY: Candis Charlene Morton					
CASE TITLE: Morton v. People's Choice Home Loan, Inc. Et al					
DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Motion for Rehearing of Order to Deny TRO					
Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:					
1	Local Rule	Discrepancy			
X	5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or support	rting documentation		
	5.3 Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper				
	5.4 Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.				
	7.1 or 47.1 Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely				
X	7.1 or 47.1 Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document				
	7.1 or 47.1 Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions				
	7.1 Missing table of contents				
	15.1 Amended pleading not complete in itself				
	30.1	0.1 Depositions not accepted absent a court order			
		Supplemental documents require court order			
	Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest				
	OTHER:				
	Date forwarded: 7/1/08				
ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE T IS HEREBY ORDERED:					
The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.					
∢		•			
_	0.1 1 1	The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.			
	Rejected documen	Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate? Yes Yes Court Copy retained by chambers			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
		Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to Local Rule 82.1			
	to Local Rule 83.1	udge Sabraw			
	Dated: 47/1	108 By: MM	udge Sabraw		
	cc: All Parties		·		

REJECTED

CASE NO. 08CV1112 DMS (LSP)

CANDIS CHARLENE MORTON, PRO SE

2568 FENTON PLACE

NATIONAL CITY, CA 91950

PHONE: (619) 267-8963

CANDIS CHARLENE MORTON,

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Plaintiff,)

Vs.)

PEOPLE'S CHOICE HOME LOAN,)

INC., AMERICA'S SERVICING RECONSIDERATION OF THE COMPANY AND FIRST AMERICAN)

LOANSTAR, TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER AND TO REVERSE SALE

Plaintiff moves this Honorable Court for a rehearing or reconsideration of the Court's Order denying temporary restraining Order dated June 24, 2008 and to reverse the trustee's foreclosure sale of June 24, 2008, and as grounds therefore would state as follows:

In denying the Plaintiff's ex parte application for a temporary restraining Order the Court declined to issue an ex parte TRO because there was no proof of service attached to the application, and Plaintiff did not file her motion until June 23, 2008 and the trustee sale was scheduled for June 24, 2008.